Reagan Institute Summer Survey
### About the Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Mode</strong></th>
<th>Combined telephone (n=494) and online panel (n=760) survey. Telephone interviews conducted by trained professionals calling landlines (49%) and cellphones (51%).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sample</strong></td>
<td>1,254 US adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dates</strong></td>
<td>May 30 – June 6, 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weights</strong></td>
<td>Slight weights were applied to ensure the sample accurately reflects the demographic profile of the US population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Margin of Error</strong></td>
<td>±2.8% at the 95% confidence level for the entire sample, ±3.9% for split-sampled questions. The margin of error for subgroups is higher.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research Partnership</strong></td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="SHAW Company Research" /> <img src="image2" alt="BEACON Research" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Note</strong></td>
<td>Some data may not add to 100% due to rounding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
POLITICAL ENVIRONMENT
Deepening pessimism about the direction of the country.

Q2. Would you say things in the United States today are:

- Right direction
- Wrong track

**Graph:***
- **Right direction:**
  - Nov '18: 37%
  - Oct '19: 40%
  - Feb '21: 42%
  - Nov '21: 33%
  - Nov '22: 28%
  - Jun '23: 25%

- **Wrong track:**
  - Nov '18: 54%
  - Oct '19: 53%
  - Feb '21: 51%
  - Nov '21: 59%
  - Nov '22: 62%
  - Jun '23: 69%

**Note:** Remainder Don't know

- Democrat: 49% (+10)
- Independent: 71% (+7)
- Republican: 87% (+3)
Q3-10. Do you favor or oppose increasing government spending in each of the following areas?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Strongly</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Total favor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The military</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border security</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promoting freedom abroad</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign aid</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Support for increasing military spending remains high.

Favor Increased Military Spending

- Nov '18: 75%
- Oct '19: 76%
- Feb '21: 74%
- Nov '22: 76%
- Jun '23: 71%

Democrats: 57% (-11)
Independents: 74% (+2)
Republicans: 85% (-2)

Q3-10. Do you favor or oppose increasing government spending in each of the following areas?
Majorities oppose cuts to military, border security, infrastructure and entitlements to reduce deficit.

Q11-16. In order to reduce the federal budget deficit, would you favor or oppose cutting spending on each of the following?

Entitlement programs like Social Security and Medicare:
- Favor: 24%
- Oppose: 72%

Roads, bridges, and other infrastructure:
- Favor: 27%
- Oppose: 67%

Border security:
- Favor: 33%
- Oppose: 58%

The military:
- Favor: 36%
- Oppose: 58%

Foreign humanitarian aid:
- Favor: 51%
- Oppose: 38%

Foreign military aid:
- Favor: 51%
- Oppose: 39%

Note: Remainder Don't know

Democrat 47%, Independent 52%, Republican 70%
FOREIGN POLICY PHILOSOPHY & GOALS
Bipartisan agreement that the US should engage and lead on world issues.

Q32. To what extent do you think the US should provide international leadership and engage with the world’s issues?
0=US should not engage and let others lead, 10=US should engage and take a leadership role

**Personal view of US role—Overall**
- Do not engage, let others lead: 7.5
- Engage, take a leadership role: 7.5

**By Age**
- Under age 65: 7.3
- Age 65+: 7.3

**By Education**
- Noncollege: 7.3
- College degree: 7.5
- Postgraduate degree: 8.1

**By Political Affiliation**
- Democrat: 8.0
- Independent: 6.8
- Republican: 7.2

**By GOP Primary**
- Trump Supporters: 7.1
- Others: 7.4
MAGA movement seen as farthest from desired US engagement stance.

Q32-36. To what extent do you think the US and political groups should provide international leadership and engage with the world’s issues? 0=US should not engage and let others lead, 10=US should engage and take a leadership role

View of...

- Personal view of US role: 7.5
- The Democratic Party, in general: 6.8
- The Republican Party, in general: 6.6
- Progressive Democrats: 6.2
- MAGA Republicans: 5.4

Q32-36. To what extent do you think the US and political groups should provide international leadership and engage with the world’s issues? 0=US should not engage and let others lead, 10=US should engage and take a leadership role
Partisans see the other side as not engaged, and their own side more engaged.

Q32-36. To what extent do you think the US and political groups should provide international leadership and engage with the world's issues?

0=US should not engage and let others lead, 10=US should engage and take a leadership role

**View of...**

**The Democratic Party, in general**
- Personal view of US role: 8.6
- Average (0-10 scale): 8.2
- Engage, take a leadership role: 5.1

**The Republican Party, in general**
- Personal view of US role: 5.1
- Average (0-10 scale): 8.2
- Engage, take a leadership role: 8.2

**Progressive Democrats**
- Personal view of US role: 7.9
- Average (0-10 scale): 7.2
- Engage, take a leadership role: 4.5

**MAGA Republicans**
- Personal view of US role: 3.8
- Average (0-10 scale): 7.2
- Engage, take a leadership role: 7.2
Most think a strong US military is essential for peace; less agreement on engagement versus withdrawal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Total agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A strong US military is essential to maintaining peace and prosperity at home and abroad.</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US leadership and engagement is essential for promoting trade and boosting our economy.</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US should stand up for human rights and democracy whenever possible in international affairs.</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US is better served by withdrawing and focusing more attention on problems at home.</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q17-20. Do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about US leadership and engagement in international events?
Views of foreign policy philosophy, by party ID.

**Total agree**

- **A strong US military is essential to maintaining peace and prosperity at home and abroad.**
  - Democrats: 79%
  - Republicans: 92%

- **US leadership and engagement is essential for promoting trade and boosting our economy.**
  - Democrats: 83%
  - Republicans: 70%

- **US should stand up for human rights and democracy whenever possible in international affairs.**
  - Democrats: 84%
  - Republicans: 67%

- **US is better served by withdrawing and focusing more attention on problems at home.**
  - Democrats: 53%
  - Republicans: 75%

Q17-20. Do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about US leadership and engagement in international events?
Q17-20. Do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about US leadership and engagement in international events?

**Strongly agree**

- **A strong US military is essential to maintaining peace and prosperity at home and abroad.**
  - **Democrats:** 46%
  - **Republicans:** 75%

- **US leadership and engagement is essential for promoting trade and boosting our economy.**
  - **Democrats:** 40%
  - **Republicans:** 30%

- **US should stand up for human rights and democracy whenever possible in international affairs.**
  - **Democrats:** 51%
  - **Republicans:** 29%

- **US is better served by withdrawing and focusing more attention on problems at home.**
  - **Democrats:** 23%
  - **Republicans:** 45%
Top focus for foreign policy: protecting jobs, fighting terror.

Q21-31. Next, should each of the following be a major focus of US foreign policy efforts, a minor focus, or not really a focus at all?

- Protecting US jobs and companies: 77%
- Fighting terrorist networks: 70%
- Negotiating favorable trade deals: 58%
- Preventing countries that do not have nuclear weapons from acquiring them: 57%
- Countering Chinese military power: 54%
- Pushing for nuclear disarmament: 53%
- Countering Russian military power: 49%
- Countering Chinese economic power: 44%
- Working to limit climate change: 43%
- Protecting human rights: 32%
- Promoting freedom and democracy in authoritarian countries: 29%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Major focus of US foreign policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protecting US jobs and companies</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fighting terrorist networks</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiating favorable trade deals</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventing countries that do not have nuclear weapons from acquiring them</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countering Chinese military power</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pushing for nuclear disarmament</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countering Russian military power</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countering Chinese economic power</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working to limit climate change</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting human rights</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promoting freedom and democracy in authoritarian countries</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Many see protecting human rights and promoting freedom as at least a minor focus for US foreign policy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Area</th>
<th>Major focus of US foreign policy</th>
<th>Minor focus</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protecting US jobs and companies</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fighting terrorist networks</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiating favorable trade deals</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventing countries that do not have nuclear weapons from acquiring them</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countering Chinese military power</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pushing for nuclear disarmament</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countering Russian military power</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countering Chinese economic power</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working to limit climate change</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting human rights</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promoting freedom and democracy in authoritarian countries</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Focus of US foreign policy by party ID.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major focus</th>
<th>Democrats</th>
<th>Republicans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protecting US jobs and companies</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fighting terrorist networks</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiating favorable trade deals</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countering Chinese military power</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countering Chinese economic power</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventing countries that do not have nuclear weapons from acquiring them</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pushing for nuclear disarmament</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countering Russian military power</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promoting freedom and democracy in authoritarian countries</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working to limit climate change</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting human rights</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q21-31. Next, should each of the following be a major focus of US foreign policy efforts, a minor focus, or not really a focus at all?
INTERNATIONAL TRADE
Views of trade as a focus of foreign policy, by subgroup.

Q30 AND 25. Next, should each of the following be a major focus of US foreign policy efforts, a minor focus, or not really a focus at all?

**Protecting US jobs and companies**
- Overall: 77%
- Men: 75%
- Women: 79%
- Noncollege: 78%
- College degree: 80%
- Postgraduate degree: 70%
- White: 76%
- People of Color: 81%
- Democrat: 75%
- Independent: 71%
- Republican: 83%
- GOP Primary—Trump supporters: 86%
- GOP Primary—Others: 83%

**Negotiating favorable trade deals**
- Overall: 58%
- Men: 65%
- Women: 53%
- Noncollege: 56%
- College degree: 63%
- Postgraduate degree: 60%
- White: 60%
- People of Color: 52%
- Democrat: 55%
- Independent: 48%
- Republican: 66%
- GOP Primary—Trump supporters: 68%
- GOP Primary—Others: 64%
Mixed views on effect of foreign trade agreements.

**Trade agreements help the US.**
They allow for the purchase of goods at lower cost, making them more affordable for the average American, and open new markets for US businesses to sell products.

- Strongly agree: 27%
- Somewhat agree: 45%
- Total agree: 72%
  - Democrat 78%
  - Independent 62%
  - Republican 70%

**Trade agreements hurt the US.**
American workers and companies have difficulty competing with countries that have looser labor laws and environmental standards, so jobs get shipped offshore and average Americans lose out.

- Strongly agree: 27%
- Somewhat agree: 34%
- Total agree: 61%
  - Democrat 56%
  - Independent 57%
  - Republican 70%

Q37-38. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Majority would support a trade agreement designed to counter China.

Q39. Would you support or oppose a foreign trade agreement with countries in Asia if you knew that the agreement was designed to counter Chinese economic power?

- Support: Democrat 58%, Republican 62% 57%
- Oppose: 21%

Note: Remainder Don't know
Immigration
Slight increase in view of illegal immigration as a security threat.

Q42. How much of a security threat is illegal immigration across our southern border?

- **Major threat**
  - Nov '18: 40%
  - Oct '19: 41%
  - Nov '22: 42%
  - Jun '23: 48%

- **Minor threat**
  - Nov '18: 29%
  - Oct '19: 35%
  - Nov '22: 36%
  - Jun '23: 39%

- **Not a threat**
  - Nov '18: 29%
  - Oct '19: 21%
  - Nov '22: 16%
  - Jun '23: 11%

Note: Remainder Don't know

Democrats: 23% (+4)
Independents: 38% (0)
Republicans: 75% (+6)
Top security threats from illegal immigration: drugs and cartel operations.

Q43-47. Thinking specifically about the southern border, how much of a security threat do you see from each of the following?

- An influx of drugs like cocaine and fentanyl: 77%
- Mexican cartels extending operations into the US: 69%
- The possibility of terrorists entering the country undetected: 63%
- An influx of illegal guns and other weapons: 63%
- The possibility of foreign spies entering the country undetected: 55%
Strong support for increasing skilled immigration, but framing as a national security need does not help.

**Increasing the number of highly skilled immigrants**—those with advanced degrees working in science, technology, and emerging technologies—who are legally allowed to live and work in the US.

If you knew that the **US military and companies in the defense sector believe more skilled immigrants are needed** to fill high-tech national security jobs...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Democrat 83%, Republican 70%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democrat 76%, Republican 51%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Remainder Don’t know

Q40-41. Do you support or opposing increasing the number of highly skilled immigrants who are legally allowed to live and work in the US?
UKRAINE
No consensus on who is winning the war in Ukraine.

Q48. Who do you think is currently winning the war between Russia and Ukraine?

- Russia: 27%
- Ukraine: 31%
- Neither: 25%
- Don’t know: 17%
Three-quarters think Ukrainian victory is important to the US.

Q49. How important do you think it is to the US that Ukraine win the war?

- Very: 46%
- Somewhat: 30%
- Not very: 9%
- Not at all: 8%

**Total important to US 76%**
- Democrat: 86%
- Independent: 58%
- Republican: 71%

**Total unimportant 17%**
- GOP Primary-Trump: 66%
- GOP Primary-Others: 79%

Note: Remainder Don't know
Strong majority support for military aid to Ukraine.

Q50. Overall, do you support or oppose sending US military aid to Ukraine?

- Support: 59%
- Oppose: 30%

Note: Remainder Don't know
Independents, Trump primary voters only groups without majority support for military aid to Ukraine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under age 45</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 45+</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncollege</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College degree</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postgraduate degree</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democrat</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOP primary—Trump supporter</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOP primary—Others</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q50. Overall, do you support or oppose sending US military aid to Ukraine?
Unmet needs at home is the top reason to oppose aid to Ukraine.

**Among military aid opponents**

- We have too many unmet needs here at home to be sending billions of dollars to Ukraine. **Republican 65%**
- The US should not risk further provoking Russia. **15%**
- Corruption and embezzlement in Ukraine could mean that some aid never makes it to the battlefield. **11%**
- Sending so much aid to Ukraine will deplete the US weapons stockpile. **11%**

Note: Remainder Don't know

Q51. [IF OPPOSE IN Q50] Which of the following do you think is the most compelling reason to oppose continued US military aid to Ukraine?
Auditing measures would not do much to allay aid opponents’ doubts.

Q52. [IF OPPOSE IN Q50 If you knew there were comprehensive auditing and accounting measures in place to ensure the Ukrainians were using US aid appropriately, would you be much more supportive of sending aid, somewhat more supportive, or would it make no difference to you?]

Among military aid opponents

- Much more supportive: 6%
- Somewhat more supportive: 20%
- No difference: 56%
- Less supportive (volunteered): 12%

Note: Remainder Don’t know
Top reasons to support military aid: standing up to Russian aggression, protecting freedom.

Q53. [IF SUPPORT IN Q50] Which of the following do you think is the most compelling reason to support continued US military aid to Ukraine?

- Standing up to Russian aggression will discourage Russia from invading neighboring countries. 37%
- It is important to help protect freedom of people and sovereignty of counties wherever we can. 30%
- Ukraine's success sends a message to others considering wars of aggression—like China invading Taiwan. 17%
- The conflict will continue to significantly degrade Russian power, which reduces a threat. 13%

Note: Remainder Don't know
Half think aid to Ukraine has been worth cost; two-thirds think the same after learning more.

Yes, worth the cost: 50%
No: 35%

The US has spent roughly $24 billion on military aid to Ukraine, which is roughly 3% of the US military’s own budget. Ukraine remains in control of roughly 83% of its territory and US intelligence believes the war has severely degraded Russia’s military power and its ability to threaten NATO allies.

Yes, worth the cost: Democrat 77% (+12), Republican 59% (+18)
No: 28%

Note: Remainder Don’t know
Few think European allies are doing enough in Ukraine.

Q56. Do you think our European allies are doing their fair share in response to the war in Ukraine, or should they be doing more?

- They are doing their fair share: 28%
- They should be doing more: 56%

Note: Remainder Don’t know
China
Most groups see countering Chinese military power as a higher priority than countering Chinese economic power.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countering Chinese military power</th>
<th>Countering Chinese economic power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major focus—Overall</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncollege</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College degree</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postgraduate degree</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People of Color</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democrat</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOP Primary—Trump supporters</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOP Primary—Others</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncollege</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College degree</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postgraduate degree</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People of Color</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democrat</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOP Primary—Trump supporters</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOP Primary—Others</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q21 AND 26. Next, should each of the following be a major focus of US foreign policy efforts, a minor focus, or not really a focus at all?
Slight decrease in support for measures to deter Chinese invasion of Taiwan, driven by Democrats.

Q57-58. In an attempt to discourage China from invading Taiwan, do you support or oppose each of the following?

- Increasing US military presence near Taiwan
  - Total Support: 56% (June 2023), 61% (November 2022)
  - Overall: -5
  - Democrat: -8
  - Republican: +1

- Increasing US arms sales to Taiwan
  - Total Support: 52% (June 2023), 58% (November 2022)
  - Overall: -6
  - Democrat: -10
  - Republican: +2
Wide majority think recent meetings with Taiwanese leadership were the right thing to do.

Meetings with Taiwanese leaders were...

- The right thing to do, showed support for a threatened democracy: 61%
- Wrong thing to do, risked provoking China: 21%

Note: Remainder Don't know

Q59. Recently, US politicians and nongovernmental organizations were sanctioned by China after meeting with Taiwan’s elected leaders.
Do you think these meetings:
Q60. Do you think the social media app TikTok should be banned in the US, or not?

Views split on banning TikTok.

- Should be banned: 40%
- Should not be: 44%

Note: Remainder Don't know
Massive age disparity in views of banning TikTok.

Q60. Do you think the social media app TikTok should be banned in the US, or not?

Overall:
- Should be banned: 40%
- Should not: 44%

By Gender:
- Men:
  - Should be banned: 45%
  - Should not: 37%
- Women:
  - Should be banned: 35%
  - Should not: 49%

By Age:
- 18-29:
  - Should be banned: 19%
  - Should not: 73%
- 30-44:
  - Should be banned: 31%
  - Should not: 54%
- 45-64:
  - Should be banned: 48%
  - Should not: 34%
- 65+:
  - Should be banned: 54%
  - Should not: 24%

By Race:
- White:
  - Should be banned: 42%
  - Should not: 40%
- People of Color:
  - Should be banned: 32%
  - Should not: 55%

By Political Affiliation:
- Democrat:
  - Should be banned: 31%
  - Should not: 50%
- Independent:
  - Should be banned: 33%
  - Should not: 51%
- Republican:
  - Should be banned: 52%
  - Should not: 34%

Note: Remainder Don't know
IRAN
Q29. Next, should each of the following be a major focus of US foreign policy efforts, a minor focus, or not really a focus at all?

Preventing countries that do not have nuclear weapons from acquiring them

**Major focus—Overall**

- Overall: 57%

**Age 18-29**

- 38%

**Age 30-44**

- 53%

**Age 45-64**

- 64%

**Age 65+**

- 62%

**Noncollege**

- 58%

**College graduate**

- 55%

**Postgraduate degree**

- 54%

**Democrat**

- 59%

**Independent**

- 49%

**Republican**

- 58%

**GOP Primary—Trump supporters**

- 63%

**GOP Primary—Others**

- 59%
Majorities support nonmilitary responses to Iranian nuclear development; little interest in doing nothing.

Using US cyber capabilities to curtail Iran’s weapons program: 71% support, 17% oppose.

Instituting new economic sanctions on Iran: 64% support, 20% oppose.

Using US military force to curtail Iran’s nuclear weapons program: 45% support, 37% oppose.

Not actively responding at all: 21% support, 62% oppose.

Q61-64. Some analysts believe Iran is weeks away from having enough material to produce a nuclear weapon. Do you support each of the following as a response?

Democrat 20%, Republican 18%
GOP Primary—Trump 18%, GOP Primary—Others 18%

Note: Remainder Don’t know
PROMOTING FREEDOM ABROAD
Promoting freedom and democracy, by subgroups.

Q23. Next, should each of the following be a major focus of US foreign policy efforts, a minor focus, or not really a focus at all?

**Promoting freedom and democracy in authoritarian countries**

**Major focus—Overall**
- 29%

**Age**
- 18-29: 27%
- 30-44: 30%
- 45-64: 26%
- 65+: 38%

**Political Affiliation**
- Liberal: 36%
- Moderate: 30%
- Conservative: 24%
- Democrat: 36%
- Independent: 26%
- Republican: 24%

**GOP Primary**
- Trump supporters: 25%
- Others: 17%
Support for helping those advocating for freedom is broad and not country-specific.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Extremely</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q65-70. How important is it for the US to assist people in [COUNTRY] who are advocating for freedom, democracy, and human rights?
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## Profile of the Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>% of Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>18-29</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-44</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65+</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Noncollege</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College degree</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgraduate degree</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Identification</td>
<td>Democrat</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Republican Primary Voter</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philosophy</td>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Vote</td>
<td>Biden</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trump</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>