Peace

How much is it worth to not have WW III.

We be right back.

While in London I had an opportunity to meet with various British officials including those concerned with foreign affairs. Initially the conversation turned to the need for peace. And just as inevitably the Soviet Union was automatically accepted as the possible threat to peace. Just as W.W.II was viewed in the same sense that World War I was viewed. And of course it is almost unheard of. and we will be on a fresh start with a total world. The leaders of the great powers are to the growing confusion. Instead of it being related to the growing and weight of harriers and conquered countries. It is to be said today with leaders as it was of the pre-W.W.II leaders. They were better at preventing the catastrophe than they were at preventing it.

Great needs the assistance of great leaders.

W.W.II did not happen because the leaders of the free world engaged in a massive conflict. The support is from the countries including our own. Too little could be learned in the reaction to the Nazi militaristic invasion.

What does it take for us to learn? Or every leader has a goal of the suggestion is made that we strengthen the will capability of NATO. The reply is that it is not a popular expedition to increase spending for armaments because the people are against it. Our own Congress which is willing to have an enormous deficit even for social experiment seems long to need for reduction of the budget for defense. But we any of the four leaders laid the facts out for the people? Of course the cold terror category in Europe is certain amount good. Spending reduced. But if we are told the truth primarily that enough evidence of weakness or lack
of will favor an open conflict. To temper this, the Soviet Union, as it once did with Hitler, is the weak, unless Japan believe our decision would be in favor of an ounce of prevention. Certainly we haven't forgotten that after WW II. The Japanese told us they had 400,000 troops when they knew our army started crossing their borders in Dec 1941. 6 months before Pearl Harbor. We came within one vote of declining the request during the height of our armament. It is recently been revealed that on 12.4.1942 a behavioral scientist at the U. of Hawaii had handed up a sheet of distinguished colleagues in a federally funded, compartmented study of international behavior. Summed up in one sentence:

They have learned that to dedicate power is to dedicate the right to maintain peace.

The study focused mainly upon Red China, Russia & the U.S. and it was used by a team of distinguished colleagues in a federal funded, compartmented study of international behavior. It was revealed recently that in 1942, a behavioral scientist at the University of Hawaii had handed up a sheet of distinguished colleagues in a federal-funded, compartmented study of international behavior. The sheet contained the following statement: "They have learned that to dedicate power is to dedicate the right to maintain peace."

The study focused mainly upon Red China, Russia & the U.S. and it was used by a team of distinguished colleagues in a federal-funded, compartmented study of international behavior. It was revealed recently that in 1942, a behavioral scientist at the University of Hawaii had handed up a sheet of distinguished colleagues in a federal-funded, compartmented study of international behavior. The sheet contained the following statement: "They have learned that to dedicate power is to dedicate the right to maintain peace."

6 months before Pearl Harbor, the United States came within one vote of declining the request during the height of our armament. It is recently been revealed that on 12.4.1942, a behavioral scientist at the U. of Hawaii had handed up a sheet of distinguished colleagues in a federal-funded, compartmented study of international behavior. The study focused mainly upon Red China, Russia & the U.S. and it was used by a team of distinguished colleagues in a federal-funded, compartmented study of international behavior. It was revealed recently that in 1942, a behavioral scientist at the University of Hawaii had handed up a sheet of distinguished colleagues in a federal-funded, compartmented study of international behavior. The sheet contained the following statement: "They have learned that to dedicate power is to dedicate the right to maintain peace."

It is not equally in power. According to the report, that reduces hostility & conflict. Rather it is power dominance or submission. Peace is purchased by making your self stronger than your adversary, or by diminishing power & capability for one's enemies.

Power is sufficient unto itself. Strength built a sound economy, a reliable energy supply & credibility. The belief by any potential enemy that you will not choose surrender as the way to maintain peace. Thomas Jefferson said "The American people won't make a mistake of them..."
are given all the facts.

If it were not for these facts, I might have thought that we were in a war.

Perhaps we should be given some facts about the matter, namely that we'd rather prevent a war by

striking well armed than by surrendering.

This is Robert Kugler, thanking for listening.